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ABSTRACT: Extraction of natural gas by hydraulic fracturing of the Middle Devonian Marcellus Shale, a major gas-bearing unit in the Appalachian Basin, results in significant quantities of produced water containing high total dissolved solids (TDS). We carried out a strontium (Sr) isotope investigation to determine the utility of Sr isotopes in identifying and quantifying the interaction of Marcellus Formation produced waters with other waters in the Appalachian Basin in the event of an accidental release, and to provide information about the source of the dissolved solids. Strontium isotopic ratios of Marcellus produced waters collected over a geographic range of ~375 km from southwestern to northeastern Pennsylvania define a relatively narrow set of values (εSrSW = +13.8 to +41.6, where εSrSW is the deviation of the 87Sr/86Sr ratio from that of seawater in parts per 10⁴); this isotopic range falls above that of Middle Devonian seawater, and is distinct from most western Pennsylvania acid mine drainage and Upper Devonian Venango Group oil and gas brines. The uniformity of the isotope ratios suggests a basin-wide source of dissolved solids with a component that is more radiogenic than seawater. Mixing models indicate that Sr isotope ratios can be used to sensitively differentiate between Marcellus Formation produced water and other potential sources of TDS into ground or surface waters.

INTRODUCTION

Development of shale gas in the Appalachian Basin Marcellus Formation, one of the world’s largest natural gas plays, has increased dramatically with the application of horizontal drilling and hydraulic fracturing techniques, a process that uses 8–15 million liters of water for each well.1–3 Waters produced from the well comprise a portion of the fracturing fluid, an injected water solution including various chemicals used to facilitate the hydraulic fracturing, as well as possible formation brines. These produced waters have total dissolved solid (TDS) contents often exceeding 200,000 mg/L, with elevated levels of strontium (Sr), bromide (Br⁻), sodium (Na), calcium (Ca), barium (Ba), and chloride (Cl⁻), most likely from interaction with formation waters and/or salts in the producing formation.4 Currently, TDS is considered an EPA secondary drinking water contaminant at levels above 500 mg/L.5

Deep injection is the least expensive method for disposing produced water, with most produced water in the U.S. being disposed in Class II injection wells that target formations with suitable porosity, permeability, and capacity.6 However, only limited opportunities for deep injection exist in the areas of Pennsylvania where Marcellus Formation gas development is most intense. In these areas, increasingly greater volumes of produced water are being reused to hydraulically fracture subsequent wells. Where reuse is not practical, produced water is either treated for surface discharge or transported to nearby states where deep injection capacity exists.6 The storage and transportation of produced water prior to reuse, treatment, or deep injection provide some opportunity for these waters to become commingled with surface water or shallow groundwater through spills or releases. Deeper groundwater also could be affected if the integrity of the well is compromised.7

Recent stream contamination events and produced water releases have highlighted the need for methods to distinguish between multiple fossil fuel-related and other sources of TDS. For example, in 2008, high levels of sulfate, chloride, and bromide-dominated TDS were measured in the Monongahela River in western Pennsylvania; the source of this contamination was determined to be outflows from wastewater treatment plants which process both coal mine water and Marcellus Formation produced water.8 Similarly, in 2009 a significant fish kill occurred in Dunkard Creek, a tributary of the Monongahela River that flows along the border between Greene County, PA, and Monongalia County, WV. This event was caused by a release of high-TDS water, the source of which is still under
debate. Additionally, a number of fracturing fluid, produced water, and brine releases have occurred at Marcellus Formation well pads, including three releases at a single pad in Susquehanna County, PA, in which fracturing fluid reached a tributary of Towanda Creek.

Although typical Marcellus Formation produced waters are chemically distinct from streams and uncontaminated groundwater, few geochemical parameters can unambiguously distinguish among all possible sources in the event of a spill or leakage. In Pennsylvania, such sources can include abandoned mine drainage, fly ash impoundments, brines from abandoned oil and gas wells from formations shallower than the Marcellus, road salt, wastewater outflows and septic systems, and industrial discharges. Here we explore the application of strontium (Sr) isotope ratios to address these issues.

The isotopic ratio of radiogenic strontium-87 (87Sr, produced by the decay of 87Rb over geologic time) to the stable Sr isotopes 84Sr, 86Sr, and 88Sr has been shown to be an effective tracer of water–rock interactions and has been used to identify and quantify sources of groundwater constituents in areas impacted by human activity. Unlike stable isotopes of oxygen, hydrogen, and carbon, the isotope composition of Sr is not affected by evaporation (e.g., from holding ponds) or biological activity. For the isotopic ratio of Sr (expressed as 87Sr/86Sr) to be used successfully as a natural tracer in ground and surface waters, the isotopic ratios of the potential endmembers must be distinct. If potential endmembers have distinct Sr isotopic ratios, Sr isotopes can be used as sensitive indicators of even minute amounts of contamination from brines, and potentially to identify waters interacting with rocks from specific stratigraphic units.

In addition, understanding the sources of these salts and metals can be useful in designing drilling strategies and understanding heterogeneities in the producing unit. Many formation brines, including those of the Appalachian Basin, have been found to have a significant component of evaporated seawater. Conversely, the presence of lenticular salt deposits in a Marcellus core has led to the hypothesis that the high salinity originates from autochthonous salts. Strontium isotopes can also be used to understand the origin of salts in produced waters, i.e., to determine if salts are from evaporated seawater and to identify additional sources of dissolved constituents (e.g., carbonates, exchangeable sites on clays). We carried out an isotope study of Marcellus produced waters to (1) identify unique isotope “fingerprints” of Marcellus waters to aid in verification of safe disposal; and (2) determine the source of dissolved solids that are abundant and ubiquitous in Marcellus waters.

**METHODS**

**Marcellus Produced Waters.** Samples of hydraulic fracturing waters and waters produced during and after drilling from wellheads and impoundments from four counties across Pennsylvania (Figure 1) were obtained by DOE-NETL and Bucknell University. Some were collected as time series from the start of pumping, and others were collected from impoundments where they were being held prior to recycling or disposal. Samples BR-A1 through BR-A5 were produced waters collected from five wells in Bradford County; samples BR-A3, A4, and A5 had been recycled through several fracturing operations. Samples beginning with WE were collected from two closely spaced wells (<1 km apart; designated by “A” and “B” in sample name) in Westmoreland County, at the indicated number of days after the start of pumping. Samples labeled WA-B1- through WA-B3-# were collected in Washington County from three different wastewater impoundments, at various depths (indicated by #) below the water surface (Table 1). Samples WA-A11 through WA-A30 were collected from a well in Washington County at the indicated number of days after the start of pumping. Samples beginning with GR were collected from a well in Greene County at the indicated number of days after the start of pumping; GR-AF is a sample of the water used to hydraulically fracture that well (fracturing fluid).

**Coal Mine Drainage.** Samples of coal mine drainage (acid, circumneutral, and net alkaline) from bituminous coals of the Pittsburgh Formation and Allegheny Group (Pittsburgh, Freeport, Kittanning, Clarion, and Brookville) were analyzed for Sr isotopes to compare with potential Marcellus produced water. Major element and Sr isotope geochemistry is presented in Supporting Information Table S1.

**Analytical Methods.** Samples were filtered to <0.45 μm and acidified with ultrapure concentrated nitric acid (HNO₃). Major and trace elements were analyzed by ICP-MS and ICP-OES at DOE-NETL and Bucknell University. Strontium isotope preparation and analysis was carried out under clean lab conditions at University of Pittsburgh. Using Sr concentrations determined by ICP-OES, aliquots containing between 2 and 10 μg of Sr were evaporated to dryness, redissolved in 6 N ultrapure HNO₃, and eluted through columns containing Eichrom Sr resin to separate Sr from all other constituents in the sample. The eluted Sr was evaporated to dryness and redissolved in 2 N ultrapure HNO₃. An aliquot containing approximately 500 ng of Sr was evaporated onto a Re filament preloaded with a Ta oxide carrier agent. Strontium isotopes of the samples as well as the Sr standard SRM 987 were measured using a multidynamic method on a Finnigan MAT 262 thermal ionization mass spectrometer. The average...
87Sr/86Sr ratio of SRM 987 over the period of these analyses was 0.710243 ± 0.000017 (n = 45). Strontium isotope ratios are presented using epsilon notation, where the 87Sr/86Sr ratio of the sample is normalized to the globally uniform 87Sr/86Sr ratio of present-day seawater:

\[ \varepsilon_{Sr} = 10^{\left( \frac{87Sr/86Sr_{sample} - 0.70457}{0.00040} \right)} \]

Based on alternating measurements of SRM 987 and seawater (n = 23 for each), the offset between the two (\(\frac{87Sr/86Sr_{SRM987} - 87Sr/86Sr_{seawater}}{87Sr/86Sr_{seawater}}\)) is 0.001074, leading to a corresponding seawater 87Sr/86Sr value of 0.709169.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Produced Water Dissolved Load.** Major element data from the Pennsylvania produced waters reported here (Table 1) indicate that these waters share many of the characteristics.
previously reported for Marcellus Formation produced waters, including variable but generally high TDS (20,000 to >200,000 mg/L), the predominance of Na and Cl in the dissolved load, and very high concentrations of Ba and Sr (up to 12,000 and 5,200 mg/L, respectively). Chloride is largely balanced by Na+, with Ca²⁺ providing most of the remaining charge balance (Figure 2a). The wells and impoundment waters from Washington County have more Ca-rich compositions compared to the other produced waters. Comparison of Na and Ca to the sum of all cations (Figure 2b) indicates the importance of Na and Ca to the overall dissolved load. The offset of the Bradford County samples (green triangles) from the rest of the trend reflects the very high concentrations of Ba and Sr in these waters.

Marcellus produced waters are known for their high Ba and Sr concentrations. Both of these are alkaline earth elements, with geochemical characteristics similar to those of Ca and magnesium (Mg). When normalized to Ca to account for the large variations in TDS, Ba and Sr show a positive correlation across the region, with only the Greene County well data diverging significantly from the trend (Figure 3a). However, data from each well or region fall within unique, non-overlapping parts of this plot. In contrast, the Mg/Ca ratio shows no systematic correlation with Sr/Ca in the Marcellus Basin as a whole, although individual wells or regions sometimes have a weak correlation (Figure 3b). In addition, the Mg/Ca ratio varies over a much smaller range of values (most within the molar ratio of 0.10–0.15) compared to the range of Ba/Ca and Sr/Ca ratios. Thus Mg and Ca are relatively coherent across the basin, whereas Ba, and to a lesser extent Sr, may be affected more strongly by local variations.

The shifts in Ba and Sr across the basin are unlikely to result solely from variations in the shale barite (BaSO₄) content (and dissolution in hydraulic fracturing fluid), as the Sr/Ba ratios in the produced waters are significantly higher than those expected for marine barite (0.03–0.08). The positive correlations of Ba with Sr at high Sr/Ba ratios within individual wells argues against either introduction of Ba from drilling muds or removal of Ba by scaling (e.g., precipitation of BaSO₄ in pipes and pore spaces) prior to extraction of the brine, as both of these should affect Ba much more strongly than Sr. The range of Ba and Sr concentrations in produced waters is most likely controlled by the combined dissolution of Ba- and Sr-rich minerals such as barite, witherite (BaCO₃), celestite (SrSO₄), and strontianite (SrCO₃), with the large differences in Ba/Ca and Sr/Ca among different regions reflecting the co-occurrence of these minerals at different abundances within shales of the Marcellus Formation.

Sr Isotope Composition of Produced Waters. Strontium isotope ratios for Marcellus Formation produced waters are reported in Table 1. Most produced waters fall within an εSr range of +13.8 to +28.4; only the Westmoreland County wells deviate significantly, with an εSr range of +39.8 to +41.6. The total range of values for Marcellus produced waters,
including the Westmoreland County samples, is quite restricted compared to possible water and rock with which they might interact. For example, Phanerozoic marine limestone falls within a range of −35 to 0,27 and abandoned coal mine drainage outflows in western Pennsylvania can range from +35 to +140 (Table S1). Assuming that this wide range reflects typical ground and surface waters in the area, the ε^{SW} values of Marcellus produced waters will likely be a distinguishing characteristic compared to local shallow groundwater or surface waters, or to other high-TDS waters.

In those sites where produced water was collected as it came out of the well, Sr (as well as other major elements not plotted) shows a clear trend of increasing concentration over time (Figure 4a). This apparently reflects progressive incorporation of salts or connate brines into the hydraulic fracturing fluid. In contrast, the produced waters reached a relatively constant ε^{SW} value within the first two days of pumping (Figure 4b). It appears that in most cases, individual wells will produce waters with a very narrow range of ε^{SW} values, most likely reaching a steady state value within the first few days after commencement of pumping.

**Origin of TDS in Marcellus Produced Waters.** The relatively narrow range of ε^{SW} values of Marcellus Formation produced waters appears to be a distinguishing characteristic of these waters. Even when corrected for 87Rb decay using available Rb concentration data, these values fall above the range of Middle Devonian seawater values,28 and in fact above any Phanerozoic seawater.27 If the salts or brines that are accessed by hydraulic fracturing are of marine origin, there must have been addition of radiogenic Sr (87Sr) prior or subsequent to incorporation into shales of the Marcellus Formation. Possible sources include the silicate portion of the shale itself (primarily clays), which should be relatively enriched in 87Sr, or basinal fluids that interacted with adjacent units before being incorporated (as brine or salt) in the Marcellus Formation. Given the relative homogeneity of the Sr isotope ratios over the ~375 km distance represented by our sampling, large-scale fluid flow is a more likely source of the radiogenic Sr than localized incorporation of Sr from the shale. Evans29 documented multiple episodes of fluid migration in the Marcellus Formation based on fluid inclusion analysis. The somewhat bimodal distribution of values between the Westmoreland County waters and all of the others could reflect lithological variations within the Marcellus Formation, or variations in ε^{SW} across the depositional basin. Additional produced water data combined with stratigraphic correlations will be required to characterize specific geographic trends in the Sr isotope ratios.

An important question in hydraulic fracturing is the extent to which the induced fractures remain in the target formation. If the fractures and hydraulic fracturing fluid come into contact with underlying or overlying formations, then additional solids could be introduced into the fluid. The Marcellus Formation in the sampling area is underlain by the Onondaga or Selinsgrove Limestone, and is overlain by shale and limestone members of the Hamilton Group. The Cherry Valley Limestone also divides upper and lower shale members of the Marcellus Formation. Unaltered marine limestones would normally have low ε^{SW} values (~35 to 0), while other shale units are likely to have variable ε^{SW} values reflecting different sedimentary sources and histories. The narrow range of ε^{SW} values from Marcellus Formation produced waters argues against significant incursions of hydraulic fracturing fluid into adjacent units. If the fluids did interact with adjacent units, the produced water would inherit the isotope signatures of brines or salts from those units, and it is highly unlikely that these would have isotopic compositions in the same range as the ε^{SW} values measured here. The argument against incursions into adjacent units is further bolstered by the apparently rapid attainment of steady state in ε^{SW} achieved by produced waters. Interaction with units outside of the Marcellus gas production zones would likely cause greater variation than what is seen in the time series data (Figure 4b). The drop in ε^{SW} on day 7 of the Greene County well production could reflect incorporation of solids from another source, either within or outside of the Marcellus Formation, but this isotope excursion was relatively short-lived. On the whole, the isotope variations in the Marcellus produced waters analyzed in this study are best explained by interactions with salts or brines within the shale itself.

**Signatures of Marcellus Produced Waters.** Elevated TDS alone is not always an effective tracer of produced water contributions,30 nor are variations in concentrations of dissolved chemical species, even those that are present at unusually high levels. Due to the low solubility of barite (K_{sp} = 10^{-10}), Ba cannot be considered a conservative element in aqueous systems, especially in cases where sulfate could be introduced from abandoned coal mine drainage (AMD). The very high concentrations of Ba in produced water may be stabilized by organic matter, excess Cl−, or the addition of antiscalants to hydraulic fracturing fluids, but dilution by

---

**Figure 4.** Variations in (a) Sr concentration and (b) ε^{SW} (or 87Sr/86Sr) plotted against the day of sample collection from the start of pumping. For the Greene County well (red squares) the point at day 0 is fracturing fluid. In all cases, the Sr concentration starts out lower and builds gradually to near a steady state value by 30 days. In contrast, the ε^{SW} values appear to hit a steady state value within 5–10 days after initiation of pumping, reflecting the domination of Marcellus Formation salt or brine Sr to the overall Sr budget.
freshwater is likely to cause significant precipitation of barite, which may be enhanced by further oxidation of S\textsuperscript{-} to SO\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{2-} and the interaction of produced water with AMD. High Na and Cl concentrations are also characteristic of Marcellus produced waters. However, streams and rivers in populated areas often already contain significant concentrations of Na and Cl due to the use of road salt, leakage of septic systems, and wastewater outflows\textsuperscript{32,33}. In some cases, alkaline abandoned mine drainage can have Na/Ca ratios equal to or higher than those of produced water (Table S1). A modest inflow of produced water would not be easily distinguishable or quantifiable under these circumstances. Moreover, brines from abandoned and leaking oil and gas wells from shallower formations commonly contain Na, Cl, Ba, and Sr at concentrations comparable to those of Marcellus produced waters\textsuperscript{34}. Similarly, carbon isotopes alone are not always sufficient in distinguishing sources of high TDS. Isotopic fingerprinting using carbon isotopes can distinguish microbial from thermogenic methane\textsuperscript{35-42}. However, in some cases this method may not be able to distinguish between different stratigraphic sources of natural gas, such as between shallower natural gas sources tapped decades ago vs. a deep shale source in the Marcellus Formation, or between pipeline and storage gases and native thermogenic gas. Thermogenic methane from different units and ages can have similar methane isotopic signatures, resulting in ambiguous determination of the provenance of the carrier fluid\textsuperscript{37,41}. Because Marcellus produced waters have a restricted range of Sr isotope compositions, \(\varepsilon_{SW}^{SW}\) values could be a powerful tool for identifying possible sources of TDS introduced into streams or shallow aquifers. In the Appalachian region of the U.S., increases in dissolved solids to waterways can have several vectors, including road salt, drainage from inactive coal mines, leaching of coal fly ash disposal sites, and leakage of produced water from Marcellus Formation natural gas production. If the Sr concentration and isotope composition of two waters are known, then their mixing ratio can be precisely calculated, provided they have different \(\varepsilon_{SW}^{SW}\) values. The isotope ratio of the mixture is given by:

\[
R_m = \frac{J_1 C_1 R_1 + J_2 C_2 R_2}{J_1 C_1 + J_2 C_2}
\]

(1)

where \(R_m\) is the isotope ratio of the final mixture (expressed as \(\varepsilon_{SW}^{SW}\)), \(J_1\) and \(J_2\) are the fluxes of the two endmember liquids prior to mixing, \(C_1\) and \(C_2\) are the Sr concentrations of the endmembers, and \(R_1\) and \(R_2\) are the Sr isotope compositions of the endmembers. This can be rearranged to give the flux ratio:

\[
\frac{J_1}{J_2} = \frac{C_2 R_2 + C_1 R_m}{C_1 R_1}
\]

(2)

As can be seen from eq 1, the isotope ratio of the mixture will be very sensitive to a component with a high Sr concentration, such as Marcellus produced waters. In a hypothetical case of produced water with 1000 mg/L Sr and \(\varepsilon_{SW}^{SW} = +25\) mixing into a stream containing 0.5 mg/L Sr with \(\varepsilon_{SW}^{SW} = +50\), an addition of only 0.05% of the produced water would shift the stream ratio from +50 to +37.5, well outside of measurement precision (\(\pm 0.2\)).

In western Pennsylvania, AMD has long been a source of pollution to local waterways. In several recent cases, an increase in TDS in local waterways has been attributed both to AMD and to leakage of produced waters\textsuperscript{8-10}. Cases such as these can potentially be resolved using Sr isotopes to identify the source of TDS. The Sr isotope composition of AMD from a variety of stratigraphic position of the mined coal (Figure 5). Although there is a limited degree of overlap in \(\varepsilon_{SW}^{SW}\) values, in most cases a clear distinction would be expected between produced water and AMD sources. The same is true of leakage from fly ash impoundments, which could have a range of \(\varepsilon_{SW}^{SW}\) values from \(-8\) to \(+80\)\textsuperscript{44,45} as well as very high Ba and Sr concentrations\textsuperscript{46}. The utility of Sr isotopes in identifying and quantifying sources of TDS to waterways can be further enhanced by combining \(\varepsilon_{SW}^{SW}\) with a distinguishing chemical parameter. This study indicates that Sr/Ca ratios of produced water, while forming a basin wide trend, tend to vary from well to well (Figure 3a). Because both Sr and Ca are expected to be conservative tracers in these aqueous systems, combining Sr/Ca ratios with \(\varepsilon_{SW}^{SW}\) values is likely to allow us to distinguish between sources in nearly all cases. To demonstrate, we
calculated mixing equations for a hypothetical stream with an isotope ratio $\varepsilon^{SW}_{Sr} = +35$ between those of the Westmoreland County produced waters and all other produced waters, but with Sr/Ca ratios typical of natural streams. Mixing curves were generated for this stream interacting with Marcellus produced waters, acid mine drainage from the Pittsburgh Coal Seam, and brines drawn from abandoned oil wells tapping the Venango Group (Figure 6). Produced waters from each well or region

than line) in a mixing diagram and could still be usefully quantified. Addition of other conservative tracers would allow extension of this simple mixing model to more complex cases of multiple contaminant sources.

An approach that integrates geochemistry, hydrogeology, and knowledge of historical background is critical to the successful remediation of existing water quality problems and the protection of water resources in the Appalachian Basin and other geologically and hydrochemically complex areas. These data suggest that the Sr isotope ratios constitute an extremely sensitive tracer with strong potential as a tool for verification of safe water disposal, and can be used to determine the origin of TDS in surface and ground waters affected by multiple sources as well as quantify mixing between them.
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