

Winter 2-21-2019

# Anarchism and the Dispossessed

Jennifer Thomson

*Bucknell University*, [jct021@bucknell.edu](mailto:jct021@bucknell.edu)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.bucknell.edu/bucknell-occupied>

Part of the [Literature in English, North America Commons](#), and the [Politics and Social Change Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

Thomson, Jennifer, "Anarchism and the Dispossessed" (2019). *Bucknell: Occupied*. 20.  
<https://digitalcommons.bucknell.edu/bucknell-occupied/20>

This Interview is brought to you for free and open access by the Campus Broadcasts at Bucknell Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bucknell: Occupied by an authorized administrator of Bucknell Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [dcadmin@bucknell.edu](mailto:dcadmin@bucknell.edu).

**Bucknell: Occupied**

**Copyright 2019, WVBU Bucknell**

**Jennifer Thomson, interviewer (JT)**

**Matilda (M)**

**Vida (V)**

**Andrew (A)**

**2019-02-22 00:57:32**

**Autotranscribed using Dragon software, unformatted.**

Good evening and welcome to Bucknell occupied here on 90.5 WPP you Lewisburg. This is DJ Anarres. I'm reminding you all of that sense. Tonight is a very exciting show here I have three students in the studio with me tonight. They are all students in history to 68 power, ideology and existence which deals with intellectual history largely in the European tradition, but they are here specifically to talk to us about something they been reading, which is our Slovic wins novel, the dispossessed song and introduce the students to you all listening and then we will have a very exciting conversation about the novel. Also in the studio with me tonight are Matilda Vita and Andrew. So welcome to the studio. All three of you. You, thanks for joining me so before we release her income dive into this book and and before I ask a lot of specific questions. Can somebody just give our listeners, particularly listeners who haven't read the book or who read it quite a while ago just a summary of what it is were talking about this awful all. So basically all the knowledge exclusive to point all else. You should correct but essentially all of these people on nor on Norris originated on Ross. Ross is earth capitalist society formations or continents, and the people of Ross. There were five oppressed, not repressed start revolution and the people of Ross said you know what revolution you can Norris soothingly set up this community on Norris and on an arson and Arctic society not in the sense that you typically hear American burning cars and chaos, but a true and Arctic society functions and so they some interesting things about Norris are that they don't have possessive words raised not to say my hand and because is no possession. Do everything community for their society and the protagonist of the novel is from Norris's name is back and he's a physicist working on some complicated things and eventually there's a drought on a Norris and like any anarchic society where society comes first. In its place studies aside and go help with the drug with the famine and decent farming and he really sees his successes calling and he's been in communication with the people of Ross, so he decides to get on a freighter go to Ross to finish his work on his physics which really would be groundbreaking for the entire universe, and so he goes there he learns of all the problems at first next beautiful because is only shown the beautiful sides of but he joins the workers protest like it's finally suppressed classic of capital society and eventually gets sponsored to us back to a Norris and a lot more happens not to talk forever. Good awesome soup. Can you explain to us why you been reading this novel in history class, yeah. So our class deals with a lot of philosophical ideologies that develop throughout time, and I think it important to contextualize those within like sort of the imaginative capabilities of humans in general and I think often we underestimate literature like this would seem so far out of the realm of possibility for us just because of so many of the social constructs

that we live under so, but we also forget that at times. Things like Marxism, which we have studied were unimaginable. So I think this just leads us to be creative within our mindset when wearing class and also when were imagining things outside of class in writing her papers and suggested a different lens through which we can look at the ladies theories and philosophies about. I think about. I hear the are there ways in which you think that it's it's actually more helpful to use literature as a wave of thinking through either the present or thinking through these kinds of larger issues of politics definitely think it helps. Kind of like an alternative to what we have now and a lot of times we don't see an ideal structure of such ideas, such as an you don't see it like where like maybe perfectly worked out the way it does on a nice inside think it's a good way to able to imagine and see what it would be like what the values would be present yeah Prof. actually showed us a video of the author. This novel accepting award and one of the things that she talks about in her acceptance speech was the fact that when literary fields are dominated by realist our progress is really severely limited. Just by the fact that new ideas can be brought to light with any sort of legitimate consideration by the public and so I think it's really important and one of the things that she said is that organically and outside the box come face if we do want have to progress in our current system is present controls that's totally true is stuff like that without literature for John Locke another thinkers of our present systems dispossessed was a any different. Just so, how many of you, or which of you prior to reading this novel could have given like a thorough or intelligent definition of anarchism well know for myself personally supporting the novel is the way it was. Present studies letter high school art reading. It was the idea of not being by state right but the way that it was presented to me was that anarchism, when played out was like burning cars burning buildings. But that's not what it is. So I think that I can say that I had an idea or image of what the value is would look like, yeah, I think my idea of anarchism was really limited to certain what I perceived to be sure but I think that has been taking this course and a corset. Last semester I sort of begun to think of a lot of ideas about the nature of human beings as just social constructs that allow the systems that we are currently living in. To prevail to be successful. To maintain their you know like ability to control lesson to thrive. So I think it's really important to think as objectively as you can about what is actually possible was reading this book I thought that the idea of a Norris was absolutely fascinating but same time the back my head. There was consistently thought never happened but if you keep asking yourself why you think that you can't get to the bottom of how your thoughts been controlled by so many external factors that you really start to get creative with the possibilities for reading this book senior so classes classes like this is to request the codes I have read you Goldman throw on the road course is influenced her sewing. I guess I kind of have an understanding that it is nice to read the book and see played out in just a practical sense. It's one thing of the philosophy is another thing to know how it would operate a lot of other things that are not having known exactly what looked like it goes back to same ideas of Marxist values about the way the present a high school education out of high school is just like dangerous violent world where everybody is endangering its constant like violence everything so that goes on to what you said about it being like human nature, but human nature is supposedly so hard, so any one of the interesting things about the dispossessed, is that liquid and constructs a Norris as an anarchist planet but doesn't ever suggest that that's the only way anarchism could play out right but can you talk to us a little bit about what it is that you learns about anarchism from this particular world that she constructs in that novel I

think that one of the interesting things is that we found a lot of contradictions like there was a moment where she was trying to publish his physics. Finding thing is and he had a really strong desire to put his name on it and actually got to conflict with another individual or individuals trying to take credit for his work by an ideal anarchic society. We kind of under the interpretation that there would be no possession of that kind of knowledge, and there were other moments like should ask mother connection to him but then not having a like a connection to their biological parents. In a sense because of the dialect not having like possessive pronouns that so I think that was sort of one of the things that we struggle with was is this ideal actually possible, or are there elements of our society today that will always be reflected in this kind is it is capable to suit Plato and I liked how it played out and it's tough for me because you've also read authors marks in class and through reading and you kind of can understand that everybody is unique in their own person and at the same time, people are social role social beings right now. Rightsocial listening to talking so being social. Social beings and theirs? Comes up, especially for remarks to social and told me three think so. So when you look at the society Norris and you say it's not perfect out of total freedom. Maybe that's as good as so something to think, can you ever have a perfect society were also here your each bringing up these kinds of themes about anarchism that are developed in this book and in talking about your prior distinctive what was why is it that you think our society uses anarchy or anarchism in such a negative way will I think it's totally because just popular in America just so same time work on his being popular and some other alternatives to capitalist America and government doesn't like that and they told about connotation and suppressed all core Intel Pro system going on for where any subversive thoughts some so Jeffrey just stuck with that because we have is country needed critical sure warnings similar to best ways to keep you going check and see that on Norris where they don't have government check their culture seems to so badly that they themselves police and souls and so America does that as well by creating the ideal culture that you want Great American gray person agreed capitalist, so anything like anarchism or any alternative to that definitely gets a bad rep and a lot of people can even tell you what some Goldman or other artists were saying and what they're promoting so burning cars Telstra slick people can tell you real social scenes remarks. His speeches about name I absolutely agree that in a lot of cases and alternatives. Today Russian and it's not there not even taught you now so if you really want to find out what actually like or any other type of society but you have what we have today. You have to kind of go right. It's not something that is taught is commonly how to continue. Perfect. I today, so I really think that what lethal understandings is just a lack of trying to understand what the possibilities are all. I also think that a lot of the reputation anarchic has has to do with the nature of what this is were in which courses capitalism. If you think about it literally because of both social and economic disparities in our system with inequalities we have as a result of our capital society if we were to move towards a more equal like society. 90% of people would be better off than they are right now but it's not. Sort of like size nation of the struggle that kind of inclines people to keep buying into assistant promises. The more even though in reality it's really difficult and that mobility is almost nonexistent, but I heard the sad times on Bucknell campus is a bit involved in a bunch of different discussions that we so often make the exception. The reality suggest because this theoretically can happen. That's the thing that defines the entire system because someone in a capital society can go from having nothing to having everything that's that's a theme that were willing to buy into.

Despite the fact that it happens like 1 billion times. Yet, people, people are inclined to to always reach for more as opposed to a very good just quality of life really livable standard part of his people scared her own laws. Check if there was a government murder somebody I don't think people need think people should really need laws to check it out YouTube into Bucknell occupied here on 90.5, WPB whispered, I've got three students in the studio with me tonight talking about Ursula Quinn's great science fiction novel, the dispossessed, and what kind of understanding that gives of anarchism and we were just having this great conversation about why it is that the society we live in today views anarchism so negatively affect uses the word anarchy as a as a stand-in for no hole range of negative characteristics like to shift a little bit and kind of give our listeners a richer sense of different aspects of life on a Norris so the first like to talk about any her world is not only built around thinking about what anarchism would look like as a system of social relations, but pushing two things about what it looks like an old different aspects right what it means for human relations what it means for language what it means for educational processes what it means for criminal justice thinks I'd like us to think through these things. So maybe we can just start by thinking about how human relations and human relationships with one another, play out will I think that there really defined by the lack of property so I think just easily one of the biggest social relationships. We can have with another person is like the way that you live together and whether community or sharing an actual living space, but because of nurses rejection of property. All of the living situations on a Norris rotate so you can choose to occupy adorning environment for a specific period of time and you'll constantly have roommates and things like that and it's what makes people nomadic and because you don't have that space to to return to all all the time. I think that's another aspect of life that makes you not lust for for things to put in that space, or needed to fill that space with hoarding corded items and things like that but it also makes relationships between people much more casual if I were to walk into my dorm room and incentive roommate. I have lived the entire year being in there was just a random person. I personally would be pretty, far, but on an artist. That's a regular occurrence and there taught to build relationships pretty sporadically. Just based on the fact that there is a common humanity between everyone, and I think that's something that's really, really unique to that society and something that we deftly don't have here yet another one example of the way human relationships example by on Norris should back the main character you go to flashback a certain point when he was going to school and their learning about prisons on the first time and then this idea that like one woman, one person can have so much power dominance over another person real that him and his friends actually like to conduct this experiment and they lock one of their friends in the crawlspace underneath their school and their friend. He wants to be locked in yellow lock and and for Shabbat. They let is crazy for him. When they let this boy out eventually. 36 hours later and can't wait must be like for one person to have power over another in that exam and so that really doesn't fly. How on there really is no there are no power struggles between human relationships. There doesn't relations are you talking about relationships. Sex got Steve so just really have always should back. That's not the norm water times give the kid off to the community childcare so the society they chose to stay together in this relationship is their child is not really the norm more casual sex and that comes down to not possessing sex as something is very hard for us to understand we say, my wife, my girl's society of woman there. That's just totally not going down here here's somebody on planet over us talking about like having what

you have her music. That doesn't make sense in my language say that and something I always wondered was I do like this freedom is no possession occurs between people special on Norris's take away from a relationship can have little possessive, at least I know those interesting to think about and deftly saw him get more emotionally attached usual. He finally decided to partner up with his wife never summed up the emotional prison seen a few others. Human relations that's really got emotional which punishes but also little sex everybody on heterosexual homosexual but again they don't possess sex or you can have sex with another manager heterosexual big deal. Consumer gratifying showing trust him. It's not like this thing that you possess is so like sex is never never really say I like sex. This person to take the idea possession outside out picture okay. How did this play out this unit the relationship or the absence of possession and relationships that play out specifically parents and children will should I cut interesting relationship with his mother. We mentioned earlier, she person at one point in the in the novel and had said that she was proud of him and that's always something recombinant. I personally like to hear my monthly astronomy. However, he was really taken aback by his because of the fact that he think that any relationship that he had with the person constituting that kind of emotional response out of him. She didn't. She should have the ability to validate parts of him because that is sort of possessive and a sense and also he didn't really have a relationship with her, so he didn't think that she had any reason to save and he was literally motivated to leave the planet because of how awkward he felt in his relationship with her, but then that is contrasted pretty nicely when check decides to have his own child and then feels inclined to be possessive. So I guess it does beg the question is possession part of our human nature and is it something that occurs naturally when we have children you might also adjusted to push back a little bit against that with the way the book is framed itself right it might also be that because the population of a Norris is so inclined to think about everything on it were us as being about possession right they refer to the people who live on worse property areas right so because they're so inclined to see everything through this lens of possession and then thinking that possession is evil like for them then to feel a kind of more extensive connection to someone gets filtered through that idea possession and I'm not sure if that's even useful way to think about it right. We might even push back in and say maybe that was something that people in our Scott wrong right maybe that's not actually necessarily always about possession when you think about goes back to how their culture all governed by what their culture tells culture tells profiteers. This bad survey with their wives to not trying think you realize example that we could use. It's kind of like a much lower scale. Think about countries and cultures were maybe you sit on the ground in America just told to go experience and find out in humans is have a similar thing to never experienced it told a story free thought is being controlled. Connotation goes both ways also say the same people are told that living site such as a Norris is, whatever it may be like barberry now now but you know those both ways. I can't see the other side seems impossible. Ways something interesting that we talked about as we were preparing for the secessionist is not a social justice issue in itself like no matter what the system is is it oppressive when people aren't offered an alternative like is is every choice that you make following your birth on a Norris actually not really legitimate because of the fact he didn't explicitly choose to be in society. What happens to people on a Norris who decide that they don't want to live in that way lose jobs is one character who really doesn't like people so drives a free truck and usually is just ocean goods is food supplies and scar three mentions that

occasionally people really hates so there's a place for them and they can also live on the outside of society, not something that you don't really have here in America and you don't want the system to bed taxes or something, but here you can go live wherever it comes down to surviving so there's some freedom in that sense and it's hard to say that they're not free because they're not given the option problem profiteers profit Rotarians is few derogatory words they just given the idea that it's good they don't have means to do it. So to give a brief break from public service announcement and then we will be back to continue the conversation. Like okay fresh and perfect for little Riverside shooters relaxation you drill is all so like this you know I will roll you okay just as forced to learn more about the force force for service you two didn't occupied here on 90.5 WPB Lewisburg got three students in the studio with me tonight Andrew vitae and Matilda all talking about the great novel dispossessed. If anybody out there who happens to be a fan of the novel or who just wants to talk about anarchism to give us a call here the studio feel free. The numbers 570577 3489 so to get back to the conversation you preach you to mention different examples of the way in which language plays a huge role in structuring consciousness in society on a Norris can you talk about that in a little more detail. Right. How is it that I mean always leave a speaker different language than the people at Larousse, but how does their language function in a in a different way and one that we ourselves as speakers of English. In this context are quite unfamiliar with. Mainly, the difference is that they don't have language. For example, we mentioned or they don't say my mother the mother they don't say my hand hand in this again ties into the values on things being more communal not possessive, you know, so nothing one of the biggest differences I would say in that language that really likes that part shows there culture the most in terms like sexual relationships and how we did talk about how these were cockily which actually helps define it as an action that is done between two people. If you think about it in terms of the way we say we say I had sex was literally mean possessing something and I about the implications of speaking about it in that way, what's on the fact that that would have on my interpretation of what it is is a concept something you can get something you can unjustly take from people, but we were talking about in class we we sort of question whether or not I the way that we talk about things actually has an effect on culture and what a meal he brought to my mind was a feminist researcher named Jackson Katz who does research on dialect around sexual assault and he says that one of the most problematic things about like sexual assault. Culture is that we talk about rape as if it's something just done as opposed to an action that is taken by vigil. She got raped she was great, as opposed to return and so I think that you know our culture of victim blaming is really encompassed within the statements. Even if your completely, consciously siding with the victim. There are indications earlier which has, and I think that's just like an interesting parallel to drugs because it's something that we can make connection with our current social climate really interesting language what their language. Language always represents what were thinking. We don't have words for things you do and do possible work for and if I know this is not with things you don't do sooner words totally reflect on their language further ideas. And it's funny because it's hard for me to swear on this point ask again. Sex is so open and casual users derogatory term do here a lot and just interesting about that reflects and how does this play out in education. I think what what is it mean for children to be educated society will kids get to choose what classes they want, they'll come decide a few points as teacher is one you teach this teaching and it's interesting because never work in our society to

chew on us, but their society were everybody's here towards helping each other. Kids want to help each other so they invest themselves in classes and things that will help so they don't need as we think of all the support know we have been something going off and money. That's what were here graduate education then they don't need the prospect of getting money the prospect of helping you and work so pretty well for your sons function for all. I think one of the big fears that we have about that certain educational system today is that just when do you good enough job at making kids smart by education now is such a commodity are school costs over \$70,000 a year which is absolutely absurd. And the reason why were willing to pay that much school is because we see it as a stop on our way to being able to provide this subsistence for ourselves so we got a college pay that much money for college be expecting a job after college, but I think that so many aspects of an anarchist society take that pressure off just even the fact on an RC don't have like capital currency means that you don't earn things for your labor. You are giving things as a right because of the fact that your member society. So when their survival is guaranteed when they know that they will be starting that they will be homeless education become something that you can utilize as opposed to something must be engaging in order to ensure that you are dying and I think that that's when it becomes actually truly successful and you can start to delve into subjects be imaginative with that, as opposed to doing in him like a testing way where this is the truth. And this is what you know you can question it. Without the threat of failing them because you don't think the material is true, you know, I think that it's it's a really imagined way to educate children. Interesting. We got sequence is interesting because education on an arson is not seen as something that deserves more than anybody else because you think that because this actually had a bit of a debate class over what this term means that the term use it a lot in the story. So there's an example where he's being told utilizing because exactly what it was that like another student something on those lines and come to consensus about what the term meant, but it's pretty much just give education is not more deserving than anybody else and you're not more deserving because you think you are a threat you like, defining arising ego means self society. You could say that they collectively have one you go society so you are as an individual on a Norris you are creating your own self and yourself doesn't align with society, then you're having selfish against the needs of everyone else going on site culture protrudes like we mentioned since Jeffrey points were shot. Document Dr. tells know your shot is shot good citizen was so pretty much as just being selfish. There's much more complicated than that. It's just anytime for any reason you choose to isolate yourself from a larger group, whether that's good or bad. Their instances in the book where Shiva felt shame and that was also defined as ego so we talked about these very specific areas of life. They intersect, but in general on the broadest level. What does what is human subjectivity look like on a Norris and how does that. How does that differ from the kind of subjectivities which are readily available to us in this kind capitalist world I would say the most clear example is people deciding what they enjoy. And I feel like that's not a liberty that a lot of us get to take any obviously in a university setting interesting to talk about it because there's so much, and some what major you choosing what even a study but still, all of those other's options that are available to us. First of all, our preapproved by the University and second law there all meant to make us successful in the same way and that is by earning us a lot of money by because all of the members of our society contribute equally to like the function of the society people then are at liberty to go and pursue other interests whether they

would be seen in a capitalist society as like functional or bike beneficial or something that makes someone successful or not so I think I think that is the best example subjectivity and it's interesting today in our society on the subject so solvency America laws are subject to those laws but in anarchism golden says is false sovereignty of the individual, not of this mess. What you see on Norris were the individuals of total sovereignty they can do their work postings if they want the subject. There is no prison there's 13 camp. Check yourself into. You have total sovereignty. So when it comes to being a subject you're not necessary subject of any system other than this culture talking about people tell you is not fitting into this culture. In that sense are subject to one similar to the culture and maybe some one vendor will to do so. So, are there particular ways that you noticed how you thought about your life changing. As you read the book is a dire class doesn't questioning life now. Definitely it about. Also how you view yourself in any how you view relationships where they really for. I mean in this is STC and I know that my mother, it's interesting. Just the kind of many realizing why my relationships. What is the purpose of them and like what you get out of them. It was really intense like version vendor. I would say the biggest thing I grappled with was why do I want the things I want and why do I think that I have to achieve my goals within a certain timeframe. I think there so many interesting social constructs. Think about like the most interesting to me is time. Why are there so many deadlines for certain successes in life, I mean graduating college in four years. Getting a job via certain time being married by certain time kids by certain time and then eventually I guess you're just out of time and if you did meet the deadlines. It doesn't really matter. You're still on, but if there is something that you want to achieve over the course of your life. There's really no reason why it shouldn't take you as long as you needed to take you and I think that something that's really amazing about Narcissus that while they are completely secure in their standard of living and situational things like that. They're just at liberty to do whatever they want and pursue whatever interest they have for as long as they have them with little or no success or massive success, and it really doesn't matter. You one keep thinking about so one point should is talking to his friend and noticed that everybody in this on this planet has names generated from your computer is names or possession lies in your name known also as but anyway she's catching up with his friend and she back his heterosexual and homosexual, and have a great time talking all night and just to show the friendship chivalric copyrights. So even though she's heterosexual and made me think all, why would I not not as nice person and it's weird weird situation of most cells into some real-life instances, especially when picking up America that some people felt there was how to have sexual experiences show solidarity with their persecuted friends and suffering. Home should think about not doing it just why wouldn't society once is us also shows how far are kind of thinking can go also chosen contradictions we found on Norris right now. For example like works with a scientist right how how do you like getting a position of power where you have some knowledge like they're working on string theory & how do you how are you people everybody. If you hold this man's knowledge I and I was a contradictions survey also shows like it was interesting to think how far can I really think you know how many times can you ask why yeah before it's just not really good point right like both example itself, but also what it what it reveals about the kind of collective thinking process that the novel obviously generated from your tuned into 90.5 WPP this is Bucknell occupied TJ Norris talking here with Matilda and Andrew about the dispossessed, so if you lived in the book where would it be and why this for a while so few places you can on Norris. Of course the

planet with young and anarchy censored us and then there is Ross reaches capitalist because few countries trying to pronounce the names and something to those that were botched sorry so I think personally, and we can over the distinction where would you live now and where would you turn think most of us, no razor kid on Norris. Is there a clean slate introduced capitalist suck it up and people, but for us when Archer gone to the present sensors. We've Artie all been introduce the capitalist mindset is actually where that question of if you're not given a viable alternative. Is that still oppression and that's where that question came up for us. So I think I personally have no doubt that I would introduce in my child to Norris before Russ just because I would want that individual to be able to be liberated in every sense possible so not be tied down by ideas about money or education or social constructs of what their relationship should look like they should feel toward certain things so that something that I wish I could feel bad something that I feel like if I pushed myself to trying feel that way at this point, as I developed it would bring more pain that I think good things. This is something we were all really. Although the Norris seems like something we wish we could live on this point it's too late. Hard to like at least for us all kind of said it would be like too hard for us now to adopt to such a planet, but for child was completely clean slate like easier to commence in early July. It would be the ideal because it would be. Weather is like the least suffering competition and violence only 20 years old talking like what's going on here taught not blaming you and I'm saying like what what's leading to this defeat is a right because another way to think about it would be to say yes like this novel that you do have all these kinds of reflections about your own life and the way in which you don't you wish you could live and then to look outward in and find social struggles which are fighting for 70 some aspect of that. I think a lot of it is encompassing the way that we define success. So, because so much of it is from an early age. Your grades in school or you know your link ability to win a soccer tournament or when you graduate college but what you getting paid right out of college. Things like that. Just when it's not as tangible like that in the society that is anarchist. I feel it would be difficult for us to validate ourselves because we've been trained to do it in such a specific way. As unfortunate as that is stork not rely on what you sure Crawford's broaden and have this freedom never so use but don't know I think after college, especially being so stressed out and everything. Maybe if somebody came up to me on the street is down the street where you working 9 to 5 more time and brought food's last community I wanted to switch a little bit away from the novel to actually think about anything you'd brought this up at the beginning of our conversation. Her speech are some of the speech before the national book foundation so she one there. They are born there metal in 2014 and she gave a speech and it was action quite short's acceptance speech, and much of it was about pushing back against the commercialization of publishing so she's very much talking about that. She was also talking more broadly right about the point of fiction and in particular about the point of speculative fiction right. The significance of that kind of fiction to the world today so wanted to read all the quote I know the three of you are familiar with this. Our listeners not be in the night. I like to hear each of you to reflect on what this means. So what she said was a hard times are coming when will be wanting the voices of writers who can see alternatives to how we live now can see through our fear stricken society and its obsessive technologies to other ways of being and even imagine real grounds for hope will need writers who can remember freedom, poets, visionaries, realists of a larger reality. Powerful stuff. Have freedom to write whatever you want using most powerful tool freedom to

do that you're going to get narrowed in boxing boxing and euros now on real-life descriptive son comes for news choosing what's not there choosing to use of working society go from there. Your ideas so Stephanie and her speech was superpowerful she was saying don't let people control what publishing she called before the audience, which was awesome as always really important and it's a broader message to you need no goals of toys, I think, and you really well. The idea that through literature ideas and that's how problems no matter what the problem at hand is that she's talking about our reference to referencing to literature is really like the best way to spread mission will talk about that. I neatly started thinking about the actual limitations human brain are. So we hear things on the time like you can't imagine a color you can't see or you can't dream of a face that you haven't seen and I think Andrew said it earlier tonight right you said I can't do something I don't can't imagine a word or can't imagine something just don't deal but something I think it's super super interesting. You can take all of the things you can't do and put them together to create something that independent organic and unique to your thought and I think that's exactly what the author of the dispossessed and should push all of ourselves to just because there is so much each visual that we suppress or restrict. I think that's one of the true and only limitations society is the fact that we don't let people think in the capacity should be never interpreted anarchism. This way I would never seen this side of it. So in our last minute I'd like to ask you all to reflect on one thing. Thanks so she's either you been talking in all of these imaginative and articulate ways about the novel as portraying other ways of being right ways which are also complicated and conflicted and problematic right but is presenting this entirely different kind of universe right so if it's been this experience for you. This rewarding experience to to take literature as a way to know. Think about the present, but also to think about alternatives like what for you. Looking at the world you live in today like what are some of the ways in which humans need to radically rethink how they are on this plane. So, just to name a few are just mentioned this planet course on planet rethink whether you believe in climate change or not you have to consider the space to run out of resources grown simple. You can really do some to rethink that. We also think and reevaluate. Especially in America. The words we would live by all men are created equal, but is that really true when were bombing certain people and when were saying surgical don't have a chance to grow up in an area with good education, clean water to drink and reevaluate just one sentence that really governs by then searching start on a really small level. I think that one of the most threadlike painful experiences for a lot of students, especially grown-up businesses. This theme of comparison. So I think it one of the things that we can do first light in terms of operational way to combat this problem is just by stop caring about your grades stop using them to define you. Things like money stop using active pineal and then I guess as we move forward more critical ways to judge one another that don't involve like an exterior party to judge that person again to be successful relative to someone else. He can feel successful because it comes just to feel successful everything Andrew and BSI kind of just like wrapping a smaller scale is just kind of membrane say amen are created equal. Everyone has to be given equal opportunity as well. And you know you can't look as some people as undeserving or the deserve to be a robot. Not all men are created equal and also means but I just talked little bit about education. Education stop modifying education that's creating a bigger divide. You know bigger class conflict and that manifests itself in some ways, so my opinion one of the smallest, easiest ways that we could start this change is through education and making it accessible and

making an opportunity that everybody highs and no one is more worthy of it yells because they can afford you please been a real pleasure talking with all three of you think you very much you contending as always to put Mel occupied five WP. I will be back with you all next week